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## MandyaDistrict

Mandya District had a sample of 40 schools with 2 Lower Primary Schools and 38 Upper Primary Schools. The selection of sample schools has been done in consultation with the District SSA office and as per the criteria outlined by the MHRD/GoI. The present report refers to half-yearly monitoring for the period September 2013-March 2014.

## A. At the School Level

## 1. Regularity in Serving Meal

All 40 schools of the sample serve hot cooked meal daily. In 8schools on the day of visit no mid-day meal was cooked in school premises due to the strike by appointed cooks.

## 2. Trends

Most of the students enrolled in a given school are eligible to avail mid-day meal facility. However, an individual child is entitled to make an option to avail or not the facility. It is in this context, data has been collected about the number of students availing mid-day meal and the actual number of students taking meal during the days of visit.

| a) | Number of children enrolled in schools | - | 4744 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b) | Number of children opted for MDM | - | $4744(100 \%)$ |
| c) | Number of children attending the school on the day of visit | - | $3997(83.49 \%)$ |
| d) | Number of children actually availing MDM on the day of visit | - | $2991(62.65 \%)$ |
| e) | Number of children availed MDM on the previous day of visit | - | $365(76.57 \%)$ |

Considering the attendance, it may be noticed that about $17 \%$ of children were absent in schools on the day of visit. Further considering the percentage of children actually consuming MDM, it is seen that about $62.65 \%$ of the students eat in the schools while close to $40 \%$ do not eat either because they are absent on the day or have decided to opt out of the scheme.

Even on the previous day of the school visit by MI, about $76.57 \%$ of children only found to have taken MDM suggesting that $24 \%$ remained out of the scheme coverage. There is a large gap between those who opted for the food and those who actually utilize the benefit and this is not an encouraging trend. Even discrepancies regarding number of students shown in school MDM register and number of children having food actually in the school was different in some schools. The head count of students availing MDM does not include 8 schools where cooks were on strike and no food was served.

The school HM/Teachers needs to be trained to maintain record of the MDM, in Mandya around $24 \%$ of the school HM and teachers have not been trained.

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| KR Pet (7) | $57.14 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ |
| Maddur | $83.33 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ |
| Mandya North (5) | $75.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| Mandya South (3) | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ |
| Nagamangala (8) | $75.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| Pandavpura (4) | $75.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| Srirangapattanam | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Malvally | $82 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Mandya | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |

## 3. Regularity in Delivering Food Grains to School

Food grains are supplied to school through the outlets of Food Corporation of India (FCI). There are guidelines to the FCI with regard to supplying food grains to schools. The data collected from schools has indicated that $97.52 \%$ of the ( 38 schools) schools have been getting the supply of food grains on time. Only one school in Maddur Block reported to have received supply late. Around $97.5 \%$ school's HM said and the MDM register also reflected that the food grains are released after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month.

FCI delivers the food grains through lifting agency to the school. All schools in Mandya knew about the payment made to the FCI.

Schools generally get one month buffer stock of food grains. It was found that all schools in Mandya have one month buffer stock.

All schools are entitled for Fair Average Quality (FAQ) of food grains from FCI. But only $82 \%$ schools confirmed having FAQ quality food grains.

| Quality of food grain is of Fair Average Quality (FAQ) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes | Rarely | No | NA |
| K R Pet | $85.71 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ |
| Maddur | $50.00 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Mandya North | $75.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Mandya South | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Nagamangala | $75.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Pandavpura | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Srirangapattanam | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Malvally | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Mandya District | $\mathbf{8 2 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 0 \%}$ |

Nearly $12.5 \%$ schools did not get FAQ grains. If there is any lapse, the head teacher or the school is entitled to return the food grains of `poor quality’. HM in few of the schools said they return the Grains if they are not satisfied with the quality.

## 4. Regularity in Delivering Cooking Cost to School Level

The mid-day meal grant is released either through a cheque or e-transfer. This has been confirmed by all the sampled schools. All schools cooking MDM in the school get the grant/funds released on time but for one school. Although the MDM funds get transferred on time, still cooking cost gets delayed in reaching to schools. $82.5 \%$ of schools (33 schools) which are preparing mid-day meal in the school confirmed that they get funds for CG regularly without any delay. Only four schools got funds by a delay of one or two weeks and three schools got it after the delay of one month, reasons for which are not known.

## 5. Social Equity

There is no visible social discrimination in serving mid-day meal. Some of the possible factors of discrimination like caste, gender or community have not influenced MDM at any stage in the process of its implementation. It is observed that in all schools children are served mid-day meal in a systematic manner by forming a line. Generally in higher primary
schools, as girls belong to higher age cohorts and are in the pre-adolescent stage, most parents would expect schools to maintain some sort of segregation between boys and girls. Because of this, girls and boys sit separately and definitely this does not amount to discrimination.

| Seating Arrangement of Students During MDM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In a big hall or <br> their respective <br> classrooms to eat | As per <br> their own <br> wish | Girls and <br> boys <br> separately | In long rows <br> (boys \& Girls <br> mixed) | In long rows, <br> girls and boys <br> separately | In small <br> circles |
| $5.13 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ | $5.13 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

## 6. Menu

The guidelines issued by the MDM scheme have specifications relating to menu. Every school is expected to evolve and maintain menu details on a weekly basis. It is also expected to incorporate variety in the menu.
(i) Only in 11schools, weekly menu was displayed in the school. In two schools Menu was there but kept in records. The responses from the head teachers confirm that schools should have a pre-planned menu schedule for all the days of the week.

| Weekly menu displayed in school |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Is there in school but <br> not displayed | NR |  |
| MI Observation | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 8 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 4} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 8} \%$ |  |
| Student's Response | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 5} \%$ | No Response | $\mathbf{3 . 4} \%$ |  |

When students were asked about their awareness regarding the Menu, only $43 \%$ students said they have seen the menu. Of these $43 \%$ also, only $13 \%$ said that the menu displayed is being followed in the school.
(ii) Generally, the Cook and the Head teachers formulate the menu in schools.

| Cook Decide the <br> Menu | HM Decides <br> the Menu | Cook \& HM Together <br> Decide the Menu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.76 | 64.29 | 11.81 |

Out of the schools in which weekly menu was displayed, only $49 \%$ of the students said that the displayed menu was followed. In spite of absence of the menu, students were aware of the food which they would get all through the days. Most of them said they like the food menu and what is cooked in the school.

## 7. Variety Menu

The school is instructed to provide healthy locally grown vegetables, dal and rice/wheat to the students daily. The menu in most of the schools included Sambhar and rice from Monday to Friday and rice item like Chitrana, Pulao, Upma or Wheat daliya etc on a Saturdays. Sambhar would comprise of some seasonal vegetables every day.

In 40 schools in Mandya, around 150 children were interviewed to get their view regarding the MDM food. When asked about the variety of food served, $26 \%$ of them said there is a variety of food served to them. Some of them were unable to distinguish between the vegetables in Sāmbhar and they assumed it to be same every day. Similarly the SMC was also asked about the variety of food served to the students; around $3 \%$ said there is no variety in food served.

|  | Similar <br> every day | Sometimes <br> variety is served | Everyday <br> new variety | NR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Opinion | 10.6 | 50.4 | 33.3 | $5.7 \%$ |
| SMC Opinion | $7.69 \%$ | $28.21 \%$ | $64.10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

A description of the same is given in Table below

## MDM Menu

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Daily | Twice / <br> Thrice a <br> Week | Weekly <br> Once | Total |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Rice / Dal | 40 | - | - | 40 |
| Vegetables (Mostly mixed with Dal) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Tomato | $17[77.27 \%]$ | $5[22.72 \%]$ | - | $22[62.85 \%]$ |
| 3 | Raddish | $4[40 \%]$ | $2[20 \%]$ | $4[40 \%]$ | $10[28.57 \%]$ |
| 4 | Pumpkin | $7[41.17 \%]$ | $4[23.52 \%]$ | $6[35.29 \%]$ | $17[48.57 \%]$ |
| 5 | Drumstick | $2[40 \%]$ | $2[40 \%]$ | $1[20 \%]$ | $5[14.28 \%]$ |
| 6 | Potato | $6[22.22 \%]$ | $10[37.03 \%]$ | $1140.74 \%]$ | $27[77.14 \%]$ |
| 7 | Heerekai | $1[10 \%]$ | $4[40 \%]$ | $5[50 \%]$ | $10[28.57 \%]$ |
| 8 | Carrot | $3[25 \%]$ | $3[25 \%]$ | $6[50 \%]$ | $12[34.28 \%]$ |
| 9 | Beans | $4[40 \%]$ | $3[30 \%]$ | $3[30 \%]$ | $1028.57 \%]$ |
| 10 | Cucumber | $1[25 \%]$ | - | $3[75 \%]$ | $4[11.42 \%]$ |
| 11 | Greens | $6[22.22 \%]$ | $10[37.03 \%]$ | $11[40.74 \%]$ | $27[77.14 \%]$ |
| 12 | Cereals | - | - | $15[100.0]$ | $15[42.85 \%]$ |
| 13 | Brinjal | $6[42.85 \%]$ | $3[21.42 \%]$ | $5[35.71 \%]$ | $14[40 \%]$ |
| 14 | Ladies Finger | $3[50 \%]$ | $1[16.66 \%]$ | $2[33.33 \%]$ | $6[17.14 \%]$ |
| 15 | Other items only <br> on Saturday |  |  | $40[100.0]$ | $40[100.0 \%]$ |

(The use of these vegetables by 37 schools where mid-day meal is prepared. Since there are many vegetables used on different days, the frequency indicates the trend in the use of vegetables rather than the pattern of usage by a particular school).
(ii) In all the schools rice is the common item served. It is the items prepared with dal and vegetables that take varied form. Generally, schools provide a distinct food item (rice bath) on Saturdays.

## 8. Quality and Quantity of Meal

The responses from the students, head teachers and observation by MI team members have indicated details relating to the quality and quantity of food. As per the field investigators, around $65 \%$ of the schools served adequate quantity of the food, whereas around $10 \%$ of the schools had served less quantity to the students.

| Quantity of Food Served |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block Name | Adequate | Ample | Less |
| KR Pet | $66.67 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ |
| Maddur | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Malvally | $71.43 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ |
| Mandya North | $100.0 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Mandya South | $33.33 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Nagamangala | $37.50 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| Pandavpura | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Srirangaptanam | $66.67 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Mandya District | $\mathbf{6 5 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

However, around three per cent of the students have stated that they are getting less quantity.

| Quantity of food served during MDM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandya District | Adequate | Less |
| Student's perception | 90.14 | 2.82 |

In terms of quality of food served, $93.57 \%$ of the students said they were happy after consuming the meals in school and that they like eating in school. None of the students agreed that they come to school because they are served MDM in school; they all said they come to school to study.

| Quality of Food Served |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block Name | Average | Good | No response |
| KR Pet | $0.00 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ |
| Maddur | $20.00 \%$ | $80.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Malvally | $42.86 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ |
| Mandya North | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Mandya South | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Nagamangala | $37.50 \%$ | $37.50 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| Pandavpura | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Srirangapatanam | $0.00 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ |
| Mandya District | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 0 \%}$ |

Nearly $68 \%$ of the schools visited were serving good quality food to the students during MDM.

## 9. Supplementary (Health Check-up)

The data collected from schools has indicated that health check-up to children is conducted in all the 40 schools ( 100 per cent). All the schools have reported that they have conducted health check-up camps once in an academic year (100 per cent). All schools visited, maintained the Health Card record for every child in school.

The data collected has confirmed the supply of vitamin tablets, de-worming medicine and iron folic acid tablets in all the schools. The task of providing all this is handled by teachers, specially the class teachers. The vitamin tablets are supplied once in 15 days and deworming is given once in six month.

| Health check up been done in school since it reopened |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't Remember |
| Student Response | 49.30 | 27.46 | 20.42 |
| Micronutrients and De worming Medicines Provided |  |  |  |
| Student Response | 77.46 | 12.68 | 6.34 |

Up to data on 'Height and Weight' was displayed in the Health Card, displayed in the school.

## 10. Cooks

The MDM guidelines specify the social composition of cooks and helpers. This has been done to avoid social discrimination and to help children to overcome prejudices and attitude of social discrimination. All the schools make efforts to adhere to the norms. However, sometimes, it has been observed that the social composition of the habitation/ village where school is located may not be able to get a person required to function as a cook or a helper.

In such a situation, school will have limited options in adhering to the norms. It is due to this reason there may be certain amount of deviance in appointing cooks and helpers. However, most of the schools make choices on the basis of the availability of persons to perform the roles. The salary of cooks and helpers paid by all the schools is Rs. 1100 and Rs. 1000
respectively. The following table indicates the distribution and social composition of cooks and helpers in 40 schools:

## Social Composition of Cooks and Helpers

| District | SC | ST | OBC | Minority | General | No <br> response/DNA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head Cook | $25.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $27.50 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Social Composition of Helpers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC | ST | OBC | Minority | General | NA | No <br> response/DNA |
| $47.50 \%$ | $6.25 \%$ | $71.25 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $3.75 \%$ | $21.25 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

Once the cook is appointed in the school, he/she is provided training and given a training manual to follow. The cook and helpers also need to undergo health check up at the time of joining. But in Mandya only $42 \%$ of the cook had training manual and had health check up done at the time of joining.
$90 \%$ of the schools received cook's salary on time. During the discussion with SMC about cook's salary, only $84 \%$ of them said the remuneration is received on time, $10.53 \%$ said it is not received regularly and gets delayed. In remaining schools the SMC was unaware about the salary of the cooks.

## 11. Infrastructure

The infrastructure needed to provide mid-day meal in the school includes facilities to cook food, availability of water, fuel and vessels. The data relating to these items indicates that most of the schools have separate kitchen or a separate space to cook. The details are given in the table below.

Details about Kitchen

| Sl. No. | Particulars | Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Separate kitchen | 85.00 |
| 3 | Class room | 0.00 |
| 4 | Unspecified place | 5.00 |
| 5 | Supplied by other schools/agencies | 10.00 |
|  | Total | 100.00 |

## 12. Safety and Hygiene:

All the schools have been making the best possible effort to ensure hygiene in the place where mid-day meal is prepared and served. There are a few cases where things could be improved for better. In Mandya, in nearly $75 \%$ of the schools kitchen was maintained in hygienic condition.

| Kitchen Well Maintenance \& in Hygienic Condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | NA |
| Mandya | 75.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Proper Ventilation in Kitchen |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | NA |
| Mandya | 66.67 | 20.51 | 2.56 |

Only $52 \%$ of the kitchen had storage bins to store food grains in the kitchen/store room. Around $66 \%$ of the kitchen was well ventilated and $85 \%$ of them were away from the classrooms.

As per SMC members the condition of kitchen in their schools is as follows:

| Condition of kitchen in school |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good <br> Condition | Needs repair <br> in rains | No storage <br> room but <br> kitchen is good | Kitchen is good <br> but water <br> facility needed | No response |
| 74.36 | 5.13 | 5.13 | 0.00 | 15.38 |

In all schools, children wash their hands and plates before eating the food. But the places where the children wash the plates become clogged with water and children need to maneuver to reach the water source. In most of the schools, teachers monitored the behavior of the students while eating and usage of water without wasting.

72 \% schools had fire extinguisher in school. But most of the schools HM was not aware of using the same in case of emergency.

## 13. Conservation of Water

The availability of water has been confirmed in all 40 schools ( 100 percent). The quality and quantity of water has been found to be good for purpose of cooking and drinking. However, only $47 \%$ schools had water availability in/near kitchen. In rest of the schools water was brought from the water source in school/nearby areas.

| Means for the Conservation of Water in Kitchen |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Yes | No | NA | No response |
| Mandya | 47.50 | 35.00 | 15.00 | 2.50 |

## 14. Utensils for Cooking and Serving Food

The responses from the schools indicate that all schools preparing mid-day meal have utensils to cook food and small serving utensils as well.
15. All the schools visited, had Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) as fuel for cooking.

## 16. Participation of SMC, parents, local body members in MDM:

(i) It was observed that the participation of SMC members to supervise mid-day meal varies from school to school. Focused Group discussion was held with the SMC members of all the schools visited. Understanding of SMC with regard to their roles and responsibilities towards MDM and the action taken by them to manage MDM activity in school were discussed during the FGD.

The community tries to participate in the school's MDM activity but they have their own constraints. 8.57 \% of the SMC members said they just observe while MDM or other school activities take place. SMC in $28.57 \%$ of the schools ensured discipline during the MDM timings. But none of them admitted to be exercising this right.

| S No | Monitoring Activity To be <br> done by SMC | \% of <br> respondents | Action Taken By SMC <br> Members | $\%$ of <br> respondents |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Monitoring of Stocks and <br> Quality and Quantity of food <br> served | 8.33 | Just Observe | 8.57 |
| 2 | MDM Attendance | 33.33 | Ensure discipline in serving <br> and cooking MDM | 28.57 |
| 3 | Kitchen Maintenance | 5.56 | Help in cooking/serving when <br> needed | 34.29 |
| 4 | Supervise MDM Functioning | 2.78 | Arrange for gas and water <br> facility | 2.86 |
| 5 | Everything is good no need to <br> monitor anything | 16.67 | Don't do anything | 8.57 |
| 6 | No Response | 0.00 | NR | 0.00 |

(ii) As per the norm, the MDM is being monitored and supervised by the parents on some of the days. The data collected from sample schools indicate that there is no roster of parents formally prepared with specified days. However, there is informal arrangement with parents to oversee MDM supply in few of the schools.
(iii) A detailed analysis of the extent of awareness and participation in MDM programme by the parents, SMC members and Panchayat/Local Body representatives has been made by examining the responses from the respective groups:
a) Parents: In Mandya district 123 parents were interviewed. The data collected from parents confirmed that most of the parents (interviewed by the MI team members) have an average understanding of the implementation of MDM programme (25. $56 \%$ ). Largely parents trusted the school and said whatever the school is providing has to be good for their children. They were satisfied with the quantity of food given to the students and none of them said they ever faced any issue of discrimination in the school during MDM.

More than $85 \%$ of parents were happy with MDM being provided in school. Only 0.75 \% parents said they were unhappy with the MDM service as they felt it affected school functioning.

Regarding the quality of food served, $47 \%$ of the parents believed that the MDM takes care of nutritional and calorific requirements of the child.

| Nutritional and Calorific Requirements of the Child Taken Care |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| by MDM |  |  |  |$|$| Yes | No | Can't say |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47.37 | 3.76 | 48.87 |

b) Overall awareness and participation of the parents in implementation of MDM is fairly low in Mandya. The table below highlights the awareness status of parents on various parameters of functioning of MDM.

## Community Participation in MDM (Response from Parents)

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Poor | Satisfactory | Good | Very <br> Good | Excellent | No <br> Response |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Overall Awareness <br> Regarding MDM | $5.26 \%$ | $25.56 \%$ | $15.79 \%$ | $39.85 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $13.53 \%$ |
| 2 | Awareness <br> Regarding <br> Supervision of <br> MDM | $17.50 \%$ | $62.50 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$. | $0.00 \%$ |
| 3 | Awareness <br> Regarding Quantity <br> Prescribed for <br> students | $27.82 \%$ | $33.83 \%$ | $23.31 \%$ | $0.75 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $12.78 \%$ |
|  | Awareness <br> Regarding <br> Nutrition level of <br> mid-day meal | $36.84 \%$ | $30.83 \%$ | $18.80 \%$ | $0.75 \%$ | $0.75 \%$ | $12.03 \%$ |

Only 3.76 \% of the parents were aware of the grievance redressal mechanism in case of emergency in school. Of these none of the parents knew of the toll free number to dial in adverse situation
b) SMC Members: The level of awareness among SMC members has been "Good" among majority ( 64.10 per cent). It is also satisfactory for $26 \%$ of SMC members.

The supervision level has been "Good" among 40 \% per cent and "Very Good" among $15 \%$ of the SMC members. With regard to quantity of food available, majority of them expressed that it is "Good" ( 47.5 per cent) and "excellent" ( $10 \%$ ) and satisfactory by $32.5 \%$ of people. An equally encouraging response comes from SMC members with regard to the nutritional level of the mid-day meal.

Community Participation in MDM (Response from SMC Members)

| Sl. No. |  | Poor | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Awareness regarding <br> MDM <br> implementation | $0.00 \%$ | $25.64 \%$ | $64.10 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 2 | Awareness regarding <br> MDM supervision | Mostly the SMC President visits frequently and is keenly involved <br> in supervision of MDM related activities. |  |  |  |  |  |

## 18. Source of Awareness

An attempt has also been made to identify the sources of awareness. From most of the sources identified, parent's awareness about MDM is gathered more or less from school or the students. Largely, teachers and students are the main source, though they are not significantly high. The details about other sources are indicated in Table below.

Source of Awareness of Parents about the MDM Scheme/ School Activities

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Percentage of <br> Respondents <br> PARENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Newspaper/ Magazine | $24.81 \%$ |
| 2 | Radio | $12 \%$ |
| 3 | Television | $27 \%$ |
| 4 | Teacher | $45 \%$ |
| 5 | Students/ School | $39.10 \%$ |
| 6 | Website | $0.0 \%$ |
| 7 | Other Sources | $0.75 \%$ |

*Each respondent is entitled to indicate more than one source.

For SMC also the main source of awareness regarding MDM functioning is through teachers and students (more than $80 \%$ ). Awareness source for the SMC regarding MDM and other school activities is shown in the below table:

| Source of Awareness for SMC regarding <br> MDM | Percentage of Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Newspaper | $43.59 \%$ |
| Radio/TV | $48.72 \%$ |
| Teachers | $61.54 \%$ |
| Students | $56.41 \%$ |
| Panchayat Members / Mothers / community <br> members / PTA members | $30.77 \%$ |
| Others | $20.51 \%$ (Government <br> officials) |

## 19. Inspection and Supervision

The MDM scheme has to be supervised at the State, District, Block, Cluster and School level. There are many officials who are involved and assigned with this responsibility. There are provision of both periodical and surprise inspections from most these officials. On a daily basis, it is the SMC members or parents who supervise and inspect at the school level. The CRP, Assistant Director of Taluk Panchayat (Akshara Dasoha) and CRC, BEO/BRC supervise most of the schools at least once in a month. The district officials like the Deputy Project Coordinator (SSA), Education Officer (Zilla Panchayat) and other officials supervise and inspect as and when they visit schools. The officials at the State level also have their planned programme of supervision and inspection. The data collected from the sampled schools indicates that it is the Block Level and Cluster Level officials making more frequent visits of supervision and monitoring than the District Level and State Level Officers. The details of visit by different officials are given in Table below.

Inspection and Supervision by MDM Officials

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Visit by Officials | Comment made by them |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | State Level <br> MDM Officers <br> (DyPC) | Visit in 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Quarter: (June - Sept) $=3$ <br> Visit in $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter (Feb-May) $=2$ schools | 35 of 40 monitored schools were not <br> visited by state level MDM officers. In 4 <br> schools the official commented on food <br> being served was good. In one school <br> comment was on improving learning level <br> of students and their attendance. |


| 2 | District level MDM officers (BEO) | Visit in $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter: (June-Sept)=7 schools <br> Visit in $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter (Oct-Jan) $=7$ schools <br> Visit in $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter (Feb-May) $=10$ schools | Only 24 schools out of 40 visited in Mandya were visited by the block level officers. In18 schools there was no comment made on MDM and in rest of the schools comments were on food served well. Only in 6 schools comments were on hygiene and Kheer bhagya as well,in few schools comments were on drinking water facility as well as using all kinds of vegetables. In one school BEO has mentioned about increasing admission. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Block Level Officers (BRC) | Visit in $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter: (June-Sept) $=6$ schools | 34 out of 40 schools were not visited by BRC in 2013. In two schools comments were on regarding the usage of vitamin tablet, food was served well \& also maintained well. In two schools BRC has commented on children's learning and radio program usage. |
| 4 | Other <br> Educational <br> Officers (ECO) | ```Visit in 1 1t Quarter:(June - Sept) = 4 schools Visit in 2 'nd Quarter (Oct-Jan)=7 schools Visit in 3 'rd Quarter (Feb-May) = 15 schools``` | Only in 26 schoolsECO had visited and had commented about CWSN students attendance, Learning of students \& Instructed to maintain passbook and MDM cooked was good. |
| 5 | Akshara Dasoha Officials | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Visit in } 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quarter (June-Sept) }=6 \text { schools } \\ & \text { Visit in } 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Quarter (Oct-Jan) }=3 \text { schools } \\ & \text { Visit in } 3^{\text {rd }} \text { Quarter (Feb-May) }=1 \text { school } \end{aligned}$ | 30 out of 40 schools not visited by Akshara Dasoha. In 2 schoolscomments wereon food stock was good and maintenance of grains. In 8 schools commentswere regarding the maintenance of grains, maintenance of the ruchi book, mentioned what food been served, quality of food was good. |

Amongst all officials, CRPs visit the schools frequently for inspection and supervision of MDM and other school activities. Despite this supervision and inspection; there are instances of delay in supply of food grains as well as allotment of funds in the district which is a cause of concern. Monitoring work from higher officials has been neglected.

## 20. Impact

The mid-day meal scheme has been found to have made impact in many ways as reported by different stakeholders. Teachers, SMC members, \&Parents have uniformly expressed the view that MDM has improved attendance, enrolment and nutritional status of children in schools.

| Impact of MDM on Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes now <br> he/she is <br> more <br> healthy | Child has <br> become <br> more <br> regular | Child is <br> concentrating <br> more on studies <br> in school | Don't <br> know | We don't have time <br> to cook, so good it <br> is provided in <br> school |  |
| Parent's Opinion | $33.83 \%$ | $12.78 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ | $17.29 \%$ | $3.76 \%$ |  |

Around $81 \%$ parents said the MDM scheme has benefitted the children in a positive way. $3.76 \%$ parents said they leave for work very early in the morning and their children go to school on their own. Under such circumstances it is a big relief that our children are fed in school.

The school Headmasters also believed MDM has helped in many ways. The graph below highlights few parameters on which HM fell MDM is contributing in a big way.

@ Around $72 \%$ of the Head teachers in the schools visited, claimed that MDM had improved enrollment in school, and $82 \%$ of them said retention of the students in school has improved due to MDM. Around $90 \%$ of them claimed that it had improved the nutritional status of the students.
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## Kodagu District

Kodagu District had a sample of 40 schools with 5 Lower Primary Schools and 35 Upper Primary Schools. The selection of sample schools has been done in consultation with the District SSA office and as per the criteria outlined by the MHRD/GoI. The present report refers to half-yearly monitoring for the period September 2013-March 2014.

## A. At the School Level

## 1. Regularity in Serving Meal

All 40 schools of the sample serve hot cooked meal daily. All schools serve mid-day meal cooked in their premises by appointed cooks.

## 2. Trends

Most of the students enrolled in a given school are eligible to avail mid-day meal facility. However, an individual child is entitled to make an option to avail or not the facility. It is in this context, data has been collected about the number of students availing mid-day meal and the actual number of students taking meal during the days of visit.
a) Number of children enrolled in schools - 5427
b) Number of children opted for MDM

- 5427 (100\%)
c) Number of children attending the school on the day of visit - 4321 (79.6\%)
d) Number of children actually availing MDM on the day of visit - 4211 (70.94\%)
e) Number of children availed MDM on the previous day of visit - 4474 ( $82.48 \%$ )

Considering the attendance, it may be noticed that about $21 \%$ of children were absent in schools on the day of visit. This Further considering the percentage of children actually consuming MDM, it is seen that about $71 \%$ of the students eat in the schools while close to $30 \%$ do not eat either because they are absent on the day or have decided to opt out of the
scheme. Even on the previous day of the school visit by MI, about $82.48 \%$ of children only found to have taken MDM suggesting that $18 \%$ remained out of the scheme coverage. There is a large gap between those who opted for the food and those who actually utilize the benefit and this is not an encouraging trend.

The schools HM/Teachers need to be trained to maintain record of the MDM, in Kodagu around $15 \%$ of the school HM and teachers have not been trained.

Training of Teachers/HM to Maintain MDM Records

| Block Name | Yes | No | No Response |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Madikeri | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Somavarpet | $80.00 \%$ | $13.33 \%$ | $6.67 \%$ |
| Virajpet | $69.23 \%$ | $30.77 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Kodagu | $\mathbf{8 2 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 0 \%}$ |

## 3. Regularity in Delivering Food Grains to School

Food grains are supplied to school through the outlets of Food Corporation of India (FCI). There are guidelines to the FCI with regard to supplying food grains to schools. The data collected from schools has indicated that $92.52 \%$ of the ( 37 schools) schools have been getting the supply of food grains on time. Around $97.5 \%$ school's HM said and the MDM register also reflected that the food grains are released after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month.

Two (5\%) schools have food supplied from an NGO hence they do not need food grains. Only one school did not get the stock of food grains on time.

FCI delivers the food grains through lifting agency to the school. Only $79 \%$ of the school's HM knew about the payment made to the FCI in the previous month and even lesser i.e. $25 \%$ of them knew of the payment to FCI for the current month.

Schools generally get one month buffer stock of food grains. It was found that $92.5 \%$ of the schools have one month buffer stock, whereas only one school indicated the absence of one month buffer stock.

All schools are entitled for Fair Average Quality (FAQ) of food grains from FCI. But only $72.5 \%$ schools confirmed having FAQ quality food grains. Nearly $22.5 \%$ schools did not get FAQ grains. If there is any lapse, the head teacher or the school is entitled to return the food grains for `poor quality'. HM in one of the schools said they return the Grains if they are not satisfied with the quality.

## 4. Regularity in Delivering Cooking Cost to School Level

The mid-day meal grant is released either through a cheque or e-transfer. This has been confirmed by all the sampled schools. All schools cooking MDM in school get the grant/funds released on time. Although the MDM funds get transferred on time, still cooking cost gets delayed in reaching to schools. $90 \%$ of schools ( 36 schools) which are prepare midday meal in the school, confirmed that they get funds regularly without any delay. Only two schools get funds with a delay of one or two weeks. Reasons are not known.
$62.5 \%$ schools get the funds through e-transfer whereas remaining $30 \%$ schools get through cheque. One school gets payment in cash.

## 5. Social Equity

There is no visible social discrimination in serving mid-day meal. Some of the possible factors of discrimination like caste, gender or community have not influenced MDM at any stage in the process of its implementation. It is observed that in all schools children are served mid-day meal in a systematic manner by forming a line. Generally in higher primary schools, as girls belong to higher age cohorts and are in the pre-adolescent stage, most parents would expect schools to maintain some sort of segregation between boys and girls. Because of this, girls and boys sit separately and definitely this does not amount to discrimination.

| Seating Arrangement of Students During MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In a big hall or their <br> respective <br> classrooms to eat | As per their <br> own wish | Girls and boys <br> separately | In long rows <br> (boys \& Girls <br> mixed) | In long rows, <br> girls and boys <br> separately | In small <br> circles |  |
| $7.69 \%$ | $10.26 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $5.13 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ |  |

## 6. Menu

The guidelines issued by the MDM scheme have specifications relating to menu. Every school is expected to evolve and maintain menu details on a weekly basis. It is also expected to incorporate variety in the menu.
(i) Only in 25 schools, weekly menu was displayed in the school. The responses from the head teachers confirm that schools should have a pre-planned menu schedule for all the days of the week. On the contrary, 11 schools have not displayed the menu on the notice board.

| Weekly menu displayed in school |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Is there in school <br> but not displayed | NR |
| MI Observation | 64.10 | 20.51 | 7.69 | 7.69 |
| Student's Response | $42.6 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | No Response | $1.1 \%$ |

When students were asked about their awareness regarding the Menu of food, only $43 \%$ students said they have seen the menu. Of these $43 \%$ also, only $13 \%$ said that the menu displayed is being followed in the school.
(ii) Generally, the Cook and the Head teachers formulate the menu in schools.

| Cook Decide the <br> Menu | HM Decides <br> the Menu | Cook \& HM Together <br> Decide the Menu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35.90 | 20.51 | 43.59 |

Out of the schools in which weekly menu was displayed, only $49 \%$ of the students said that the displayed menu was followed. In spite of absence of the menu, students were aware of the food which they would get all through the day. Most of them said they like the food menu and what is cooked in the school.

## 7. Variety of Menu

The school is instructed to provide healthy locally grown vegetables, dal and rice/wheat to the students daily. The menu in most of the schools included Sambhar and rice from Monday
to Friday and rice item like Chitrana, Pulao, Upma or Wheat daliya etc on a Saturdays. Sambhar would comprise of some seasonal vegetables every day.

In 40 schools in Kodagu, around 150 children were interviewed to get their view regarding the MDM food. When asked about the variety of food served, $26 \%$ of them said there is a variety of food served to them. Some of them were unable to distinguish between the vegetables in sambhar and they assumed it to be same every day. Similarly the SMC was also asked about the variety of food served to the students, around $3 \%$ said there is no variety in food served.

|  | Similar <br> every day | Sometimes <br> variety is served | Everyday new <br> variety | NR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Opinion | $10.8 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| SMC Opinion | $3.23 \%$ | $64.52 \%$ | $32.26 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

A description of the same is given in Table below
MDM Menu

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sl. } \\ \text { N } \\ \text { o. } \end{gathered}$ | Particulars | Daily | Twice / <br> Thrice a Week | Weekly Once | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rice / Dal | 40 | - | - | 40 |
| Vegetables mixed with dal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Raddish <br> \% | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 5.26 \end{array}$ | 9 23.68 | 5 13.16 | 16 42.11 |
| 4 | Pumpkin \% | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 42.11 \end{array}$ | 5 13.16 | 4 10.53 | 25 65.79 |
| 5 | Drumstick \% | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 7.89 \end{array}$ | 8 21.05 | 10 26.32 | 21 55.26 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Potato } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 5.26 | 2 5.26 | 8 21.05 | 12 31.58 |
| 7 | Heerekai $\%$ | 5 13.16 | 4 10.53 | 14 36.84 | $\begin{array}{r}23 \\ 60.53 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Carrot } \\ \% \end{array}$ |  | 6 15.79 | 3 7.89 | $\begin{array}{r}27 \\ 71.05 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Beans } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 36.8 \end{array}$ | 1 2.6 | 12 31.6 | 27 71.1 |


| 10 | Cucumber | 9 | 2 | 6 | 17 |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | 23.68 | 5.26 | 15.79 | 44.74 |
| 11 | Greens | 3 | 19 | 2 | 24 |
|  | $\%$ | 7.89 | 50.00 | 5.26 | 63.16 |
| 12 | Cereals |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 5.26 | 5.26 |
| 13 | Brinjal | $\%$ | 2 | 6 | 9 |$] 170$

(The use of these vegetables by 34 schools where mid-day meal is prepared. Since there are many vegetables used on different days, the frequency indicates the trend in the use of vegetables rather than the pattern of usage by a particular school).
(ii) In all the schools rice is the common item served. It is the items prepared with dal and vegetables that take varied form. Generally, schools provide a distinct food item (rice bath) on Saturdays.

## 8. Quality and Quantity of Meal

The responses from the students, head teachers and observation by MI team members have indicated details relating to the quality and quantity of food. As per the field investigators, around $87 \%$ of the schools served adequate quantity of the food. $5 \%$ of the schools had served less quantity to the students on the day of visit.

| Quantity of Food Served |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block Name | Adequate | Ample | Less |
| Madikeri | $66.67 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $8.33 \%$ |
| Somvarpet | $92.86 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $7.14 \%$ |
| Virajpet | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Kodagu District | $87.18 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ | $5.13 \%$ |

However, only two per cent of the students have stated that they are getting less quantity.

| Quantity of food served during MDM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Kodagu District | Adequate | Less |
| Student's perception | 96.84 | 2.11 |

In terms of quality of food served, $98.91 \%$ of the students said they were happy after consuming the meals in school and that they like eating in school. None of the student agreed that they come to school because they are served MDM in school; they all said they come to school to study.

Nearly $74 \%$ of the schools visited were serving good quality food to the students during MDM.

| Quality of Food Served |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block Name | Average | Good | No response |
| Madikeri | $33.33 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Somvarpet | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Virajpet | $38.46 \%$ | $53.85 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ |
| Kodagu District | $23.08 \%$ | $74.36 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ |

## 9. Supplementary (Health Check-up)

The data collected from schools has indicated that health check-up to children is conducted in all the 40 schools ( 100 per cent). All the schools have reported that they have conducted health check-up camps once in an academic year ( 100 per cent). All schools visited, maintained the Health Card record for every child in school.

The data collected has confirmed the supply of vitamin tablets, de-worming medicine and iron folic acid tablets in all the schools. The task of providing all this is handled by teachers, specially the class teachers. The vitamin tablets are supplied once in 15 days and deworming is given once in six month.

| Health check up been done in school since it reopened |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't Remember |
| Student Response | 72.63 | 20.00 | 7.37 |
| Micronutrients and De worming Medicines Provided |  |  |  |
| Student Response | 93.68 | 5.26 | 1.05 |

The health cared record also displayed the 'Height and Weight' data up to date in Health Card.

## 10. Cooks

The MDM guidelines specify the social composition of cooks and helpers. This has been done to avoid social discrimination and to help children to overcome prejudices and attitude of social distancing. All the schools make efforts to adhere to the norms. However, it has been observed that the social composition of the habitation/ village where school is located may not be able to get a person required to function as a cook or a helper. In such situations, school will have limited options in adhering to the norms. It is due to this reason there may be certain amount of deviance in appointing cooks and helpers. However, most of the schools make choices on the basis of the availability of persons to perform the roles. The salary of cooks and helpers paid by all the schools is Rs. 1100 and Rs. 1000 respectively. The following table indicates the distribution and social composition of cooks and helpers in 40 schools:

Social Composition of Cooks and Helpers

| District | SC | ST | OBC | Minority | General | No response/DNA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head Cook | $28.95 \%$ | $5.26 \%$ | $39.47 \%$ | $7.89 \%$ | $5.26 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Social Composition of Helpers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC | ST | OBC | Minority | General | NA | No response/DNA |
| $17.11 \%$ | $15.79 \%$ | $52.63 \%$ | $10.53 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ | $47.37 \%$ | $3.95 \%$ |

Once the cook is appointed in the school, he/she is provided training and given a training manual to follow. The cook and helpers also need to undergo health check up at the time of joining. But in Kodagu only $44 \%$ of the cook had training manual and had health check up done at the time of joining.
$89 \%$ of the schools received cook's salary on time. During the discussion with SMC about cook's salary, only $87 \%$ of them said the remuneration is received on time, $6.45 \%$ said it is not received regularly and gets delayed. In remaining schools the SMC was unaware about the salary of the cooks.

## 11. Infrastructure

The infrastructure needed to provide mid-day meal in the school includes facilities to cook food, availability of water, fuel and vessels. The data relating to these items indicates that most of the schools have separate kitchen or a separate space to cook. The details are given in table below.

Details about Kitchen

| Sl. No. | Particulars | Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Separate kitchen | 82.05 |
| 2 | Class room | 2.56 |
| 3 | Unspecified place | 10.26 |
| 4 | Supplied by other schools/agencies | 5.13 |
|  | Total | 100.00 |

## 12. Safety and Hygiene:

All the schools have been making the best possible effort to ensure hygiene in the place where mid-day meal is prepared and served. There are a few cases where things could be improved for the better. In Kodagu, in nearly $87 \%$ of the schools kitchen was maintained in hygienic condition.

| Kitchen Well Maintenance \& in Hygienic Condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | NA |
| Kodagu | 87.2 | 7.7 | 5.1 |
| Proper Ventilation in Kitchen |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | NA |
| Kodagu | 84.62 | 12.82 | 2.56 |

Only $69 \%$ of the kitchen had storage bins to store food grains in the kitchen/store room. Around $84 \%$ of the kitchen was well ventilated and $82 \%$ of them were away from the classrooms. As per SMC members the condition of kitchen in their schools is as follows:

| Condition of kitchen in school |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good <br> Condition | Needs repair <br> in rains | No storage room but <br> kitchen is good | Kitchen is good but <br> water facility needed | No <br> response |  |
| 77.42 | 6.45 | 3.23 | 6.45 | 6.45 |  |

In all schools, children wash their hands and plates before eating the food. But the place where the children wash the plates become clogged with water and children need to maneuver to reach the water source. In most of the schools, teachers monitored the behavior of the students while eating and usage of water without wasting.
$92 \%$ schools had fire extinguisher in school. But most of the schools HM were not aware of how to use it in case of emergency.

## 13. Conservation of Water

The availability of water has been confirmed in all 40 schools ( 100 percent). The quality and quantity of water has been found to be good for purpose of cooking and drinking. However, only $66 \%$ schools had water availability in/near kitchen. In rest of the schools the water was brought from the water source in school/nearby areas.

| Means for the Conservation of Water in Kitchen |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Yes | No | NA | No response |
| Kodagu | 66.67 | 25.64 | 2.56 | 5.13 |

## 14. Utensils for Cooking and Serving Food

The responses from the schools indicate that all schools preparing mid-day meal have utensils to cook food and small serving utensils as well.
15. All the schools visited, had Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) as fuel for cooking.

## 16. Participation of SMC, parents, local body members in MDM:

(i) It was observed that the participation of SMC members to supervise mid-day meal varies from school to school. Focused Group discussion was held with the SMC members of all the schools visited. Understanding of SMC with regard to their roles and responsibilities towards MDM and the action taken by them to manage MDM activity in school were discussed during the FGD.

| Sl. <br> No | Monitoring Activity To be <br> done by SMC | $\%$ of <br> respondents | Action Taken By SMC <br> Members | $\%$ of <br> respondents |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Monitoring of Stocks and <br> Quality and Quantity of food <br> served | $45.2 \%$ | Just Observe | 22.58 |
| 2 | MDM Attendance | $6.5 \%$ | Ensure discipline in serving <br> and cooking MDM | 48.39 |
| 3 | Kitchen Maintenance | $6.5 \%$ | Help in cooking/serving when <br> needed | 12.90 |
| 4 | Supervise MDM Functioning | 12.9 | Arrange for gas and water <br> facility | 3.23 |
| 5 | Everything is good no need to <br> monitor anything | $3.2 \%$ | Don't do anything | 3.23 |
| 6 | No Response | $22.6 \%$ | NR | 9.68 |

The community tries to participate in the school's MDM activity but they have their own constraints. $22.58 \%$ of the SMC members said they just observe while MDM or
other school activities take place. SMC in $48 \%$ of the schools ensured discipline during the MDM timings. Regarding SMC's knowledge regarding the quantity, Quality and Stock for MDM only $42 \%$ said they were aware of this responsibility. But none of them admitted to be exercising this right.
(ii) As per the norm, the MDM is being monitored and supervised by the parents on some of the days. The data collected from sample schools indicate that there is no roster of parents formally prepared with specified days. However, there is informal arrangement with parents to oversee MDM supply in few of the schools.
(iii) A detailed analysis of the extent of awareness and participation in MDM programme by the parents, SMC members and Panchayat/Local Body representatives has been made by examining the responses from the respective groups:
c) Parents: In Kodagu district 123 parents were interviewed. The data collected from parents confirmed that most of the parents (interviewed by the MI team members) have an average understanding of the implementation of MDM programme ( $25 \%$ ). Largely parents trusted the school and said whatever the school is providing has to be good for their children. They were satisfied with the quantity of food given to the students and none of them said they ever faced any issue of discrimination in the school during MDM.

More than $92 \%$ of parents were happy with MDM being provided in school. Only $1.6 \%$ parents said they were unhappy with the MDM service as they felt it affected school functioning.

Regarding the quality of food served, $69 \%$ of the parents believed that the MDM takes care of nutritional and calorific requirements of the child.

| Nutritional and Calorific Requirements of the Child |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taken Care by MDM |  |  |  |

d) Overall awareness and participation of the parents in implementation of MDM is fairly low in Kodagu. The table below highlights the awareness status of parents on various parameters of functioning of MDM.

## Community Participation in MDM (Response from Parents)

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Poor | Satisfactory | Good | Very <br> Good | Excellent | No <br> Response |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Overall Awareness <br> Regarding MDM | $33.33 \%$ | $25.20 \%$ | $9.76 \%$ | $26.02 \%$ | 0 | $5.69 \%$ |
| 2 | Awareness <br> Regarding <br> Supervision of <br> MDM | $15 \%$ | $62.50 \%$ | $17.50 \%$ | 0 | 0 | $5 \%$ |
| 3 | Awareness <br> Regarding Quantity <br> Prescribed for <br> students | $21.95 \%$ | $51.22 \%$ | $19.51 \%$ | $2.44 \%$ | $2.44 \%$ | $2.43 \%$ |
|  | Awareness <br> Regarding Nutrition <br> level of mid-day <br> meal | $25.20 \%$ | $49.59 \%$ | $19.51 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ | 0 | $2.4 \%$ |

Only $8.94 \%$ of the parents were aware of the grievance redressal mechanism in case of emergency in school. Of these none of the parents knew of the toll free number to dial in adverse situation
b) SMC Members: The level of awareness among SMC members has been "Good" among majority ( 48.39 per cent). It is also satisfactory for $23 \%$ of SMC members. The participation of the SMC members in arranging mid-day meal ranges from "Good" for majority of SMC members (52.5\%) The supervision level has been "Good" among 47.5 per cent and "Very Good" among 7.5\% of the SMC members. With regard to quantity of food available, majority of them expressed that it is "Good" (47.5 per cent) and "excellent" ( $10 \%$ ) and satisfactory by $32.5 \%$ of people. An equally encouraging response comes from SMC members with regard to the nutritional level of the mid-day meal.

Community Participation in MDM (Response from SMC Members)

| Sl. <br> No. |  | Poor | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Awareness regarding <br> MDM implementation | 9.68 | 22.58 | 48.39 | 16.13 | 3.23 | $100 \%$ |
| 2 | Awareness regarding <br> MDM supervision | Mostly the SMC President visits frequently and is keenly <br> involved in supervision of MDM related activities. |  |  |  |  |  |

## 18. Source of Awareness

An attempt has also been made to identify the sources of awareness. From most of the sources identified, parent's awareness about MDM is gathered more or less from school or the students. Largely, teachers and students are the main source, though they are not significantly high. The details about other sources are indicated in table below.

## Source of Awareness of Parents about the MDM Scheme/ School Activities

| Sl. No. | Particulars | Percentage of Respondents <br> PARENTS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Newspaper/ Magazine | $44 \%$ |
| 2 | Radio | $17 \%$ |
| 3 | Television | $37 \%$ |
| 4 | Teacher | $52 \%$ |
| 5 | Students/ School | $60.16 \%$ |
| 6 | Mothers/Community/PTA members | $39 \%$ |
| 7 | Website | $12.20 \%$ |
| 8 | Other Sources | $0.81 \%$ (Own Children) |

*Each respondent is entitled to indicate more than one source.

For SMC also the main source of awareness regarding MDM functioning is through teachers and students (more than $80 \%$ ). Awareness source for the SMC regarding MDM and other school activities is shown in the below table:

| Source of Awareness for SMC regarding <br> MDM | Percentage of Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Newspaper | 48.39 |
| Radio/TV | 38.71 |
| Teachers | 87.10 |
| Students | 80.65 |
| Panchayat Members / Mothers / community <br> members / PTA members | 19.35 |
| Others | $3.23($ Government officials) |

## 19. Inspection and Supervision

The MDM scheme has been supervised at the State, District, Block, Cluster and School level. There are many officials involved and assigned with this responsibility. There are both periodical and surprise inspections from most these officials. On a daily basis, it is the SMC members or parents who supervise and inspect at the school level. The CRP, Assistant Director of Taluk Panchayat (Akshara Dasoha) and CRC, BEO/BRC supervise most of the schools at least once in a month. The district officials like the Deputy Project Coordinator (SSA), Education Officer (Zilla Panchayat) and other officials supervise and inspect as and when they visit schools. The officials at the State level also have their planned programme of supervision and inspection. The data collected from the sampled schools indicates that it is the Block Level and Cluster Level officials making more frequent visits of supervision and monitoring than the District Level and State Level Officers. The details of visit by different officials are given in Table below.

Inspection and Supervision by MDM Officials

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Visit by Officials | Comment made by them |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | State Level MDM <br> Officers (DyPC) | Visit in $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> of schools <br> Visit in $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter (Oct-Jan) $=10.5 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ of schools no visits made by state <br> level MDM officers. In $2.6 \%$ of school <br> comments were on preparation of the food <br> was good. In rest schools no comments |
| were made on MDM. |  |  |  |


| 2 | District level MDM officers (BEO) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Visit in } 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quarter: (June }- \text { Sept) }=8 \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \text { Visit in } 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Quarter (Oct-Jan) }=10 \\ & \text { Visit in } 3^{\text {rd }} \text { Quarter (Feb-May) }=6 \end{aligned}$ | $64 \%$ of schools no visits made by district level of MDM officers. In 2 schools comments were on attendance of MDM, in 1 school on register maintenance, in one school Khseera bhagaya. 3 schools commented on quality of food and on attendance of MDM. In 31 schools no comments were made about MDM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Block Level Officers (BRP) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Visit in } 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quarter: (June }- \text { Sept) }=6 \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \text { Visit in } 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Quarter (Oct-Jan) }=4 \\ & \text { Visit in } 3^{\text {rd }} \text { Quarter (Feb-May) }=1 \end{aligned}$ | 27 schools out of 40 were visited by the block level officer. In $5.2 \%$ of school comments were Khisra Bhagya and kitchen kept clean and good. |
| 4 | Other Educational Officers (ECO) | ```Visit in \(1^{\text {st }}\) Quarter: (June - Sept) \(=\) 7.9 \% of schools Visit in \(2^{\text {nd }}\) Quarter (Oct-Jan) \(=2.6 \%\) of schools Visit in \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Quarter (Feb-May) \(=13.2\) \% of schools``` | $76 \%$ of schools had no visit by the other educational officers. In $5.3 \%$ of schools comments were on providing boiled water on time for the students and $5.3 \%$ of schools on hygiene and food were good. |
| 5 | Akshara Dasoha Officials | $\begin{aligned} & 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quarter (June-Sept) }=6 \text { schools } \\ & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Quarter (Oct-Jan) }=5.2 \% \\ & 3^{\text {rd }} \text { Quarter (Feb-May) }=10.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | Only 11\% (19) schools visited by Akshara Dasoha official. In $10 \%$ schools comments were on MDM logo. In $5 \%$ schools the official commented on Hygiene of the kitchen and $2.6 \%$ schools were commented upon the quality of food. |

All officials from state level to CRC level visit the schools frequently for inspection and supervision of MDM. Despite this supervision and inspection; there are instances of delay in supply of food grains as well as allotment of funds in the district which is a cause of concern.

## 20. Impact

The mid-day meal scheme has been found to have made impact in many ways as reported by different stakeholders (Table 10). Teachers, SMC members, Parents \& GP members have uniformly expressed the view that MDM has improved attendance, enrolment and nutritional status of children in schools.

| Impact of MDM on Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes now <br> he/she is <br> more healthy | Child has <br> become more <br> regular | Child is <br> concentrating more <br> on studies in school | Don't <br> know | We don't have time <br> to cook, so good it is <br> provided in school |  |
| Parent's <br> Opinion | 73.17 | 7.32 | 0.81 | 7.31 | 11.38 |  |

Around $81 \%$ parents said the MDM scheme has benefitted the children in a positive way. $11 \%$ parents said they leave for work very early in the morning and their children go to school on their own. Under such circumstances it is a big relief that our children are fed in school.

The school Headmasters also believed MDM has helped in many ways. The graph below highlights few parameters on which HM fell MDM is contributing in a big way.


Around $60 \%$ of the Head teachers in the schools visited, claimed that MDM had improved enrollment in school, and $87 \%$ of them said retention of the students in school has improved due to MDM. Around $90 \%$ of them claimed that It had improved the nutritional status of the students.


## MDM- Karnataka

# $2^{\text {nd }}$ Half Yearly Monitoring Report 

## Period: $1^{\text {st }}$ November 2013 - 31 $^{\text {st }}$ April 2014

District -RAICHUR

> Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bangalore

# District Level Half Yearly Monitoring Report (MDM) 

## Raichur District

Raichur District had a sample of 40 schools with 16 Lower Primary Schools and 24 Upper Primary Schools. The selection of sample schools has been done in consultation with the District SSA office and as per the criteria outlined by the MHRD/GoI. The present report refers to half-yearly monitoring for the period September 2013-May 2014.

## A. At the School Level

## 1. Regularity in Serving Meal

All 40 schools of the sample serve hot cooked meal cooked by appointed cooks daily.

## 2. Trends

Most of the students enrolled in a given school are eligible to avail mid-day meal facility. However, an individual child is entitled to make an option to avail or not the facility. It is in this context, data has been collected about the number of students availing mid-day meal and the actual number of students taking meal during the days of visit.
a) Number of children enrolled in schools - 7719
b) Number of children opted for MDM - 7276 (94.2\%)
c) Number of children attending the school on the day of visit - 4900 (63.05\%)
d) Number of children actually availing MDM on the day of visit - 4766 (61.74 \%)
e) Number of children availed MDM on the previous day of visit - 5080 (65.81\%)

Considering the attendance, it may be noticed that about $37 \%$ of children were absent in schools on the day of visit. Further considering the percentage of children actually consuming MDM, it is seen that about $60-65 \%$ of the students eat in the schools while close to $35-40 \%$ do not eat either because they are absent on the day or have decided to opt out of the scheme. Even on the previous day of the school visit by MI, about $66 \%$ of children had taken MDM
suggesting that $34 \%$ remained out of the scheme coverage. There is a large gap between those who opted for the food and those who actually utilize the benefit and this is not an encouraging trend.

The school HM/Teachers needs to be trained to maintain record of the MDM, in Raichur around $55.56 \%$ of the school HM and teachers have not been trained.

| Block Name | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Deodurg | $33.33 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ |
| Lingasugur | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ |
| Manvi | $57.14 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ |
| Raichur | $40.00 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ |
| Sindhanur | $40.00 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ |
| Raichur | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4 4} \%$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 5 6} \%$ |

## 3. Regularity in Delivering Food Grains to School

Food grains are supplied to school through the outlets of Food Corporation of India (FCI). There are guidelines to the FCI with regard to supplying food grains to schools. The data collected from schools indicated that $77 \%$ of the ( 31 schools) schools have been getting the supply of food grains on time. Around $92.5 \%$ (37) schools' HM said and the MDM register also reflected that the food grains are released after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month. In two schools there was no register maintained for MDM.

FCI delivers the food grains through lifting agency to the school. Only $59 \%$ of the school's HM knew about the payment made to the FCI in the previous month and even lesser i.e. $30 \%$ of them knew of the payment to FCI for the current month.

Schools generally get one month buffer stock of food grains. In $92.5 \%$ of the schools foodgrains were released after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month and ensuring that one month buffer stock is maintained.

All schools are entitled for Fair Average Quality (FAQ) of food grains from FCI. But only $72.5 \%$ schools confirmed having FAQ quality food grains. Nearly $22.5 \%$ schools did not get FAQ grains. If there is any lapse, the head teacher or the school is entitled to return the food grains for 'poor quality'.

## 4. Regularity in Delivering Cooking Cost to School Level

The mid-day meal grant is released either through a cheque or e-transfer. This has been confirmed by all the sampled schools. In $62.5 \%$ schools ( 25 schools) cooking cost for MDM is released on time. Nearly $35 \%$ schools said the extent of delay is more than one month in receieving cooking cost.

## 5. Social Equity

There is no visible social discrimination in serving mid-day meal. Some of the possible factors of discrimination like caste, gender or community have not influenced MDM at any stage in the process of its implementation. It is observed that in all schools children are served mid-day meal in a systematic manner by forming a line.

| Seating Arrangement of Students During MDM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| adjusting the unspent <br> balance of the <br> previous month | As per <br> their own <br> wish | Girls and <br> boys <br> separately | In long rows <br> (boys \& Girls <br> mixed) | In long rows, <br> girls and boys <br> separately | In small <br> circles |  |
| $0.00 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $84.62 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | 0.005 |  |

## 6. Menu

The guidelines issued by the MDM scheme have specifications relating to menu. Every school is expected to evolve and maintain menu details on a weekly basis. It is also expected to incorporate variety in the menu.
(i) In 20 schools (50\%) menu was displayed in the school. However in 7 schools Menu was there but written in a register and not displayed. In 33 schools visited there was no MDM logo displayed in school. Even HM were unaware of the logo. 10 schools displayed the MDM attendance on the notice/blackboard.

| Weekly menu displayed in school |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Is there in school <br> but not displayed |  |  |
| MI Observation | $50.00 \%$ | $48.41 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ |  |  |
| Student's Response | $18.8 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ | No Response | $0.6 \%$ |  |

When students were asked about their awareness regarding the Menu of food, only 18.8 \% students said they have seen the menu.
(ii) Generally, the Cook and the Head teachers formulate the menu in schools.

| Cook Decide the <br> Menu | HM Decides the <br> Menu | Cook \& HM Together <br> Decide the Menu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $22.50 \%$ | $52.50 \%$ | $17.50 \%$ |

Out of the schools in which weekly menu was displayed, only $58 \%$ of the students said that the displayed menu was followed. In spite of absence of the menu, students were aware of the food which they would get all through the day. Most of them said they like the food menu and what is cooked in the school.

## 7. Variety of Menu

The school is instructed to provide healthy locally grown vegetables, dal and rice/wheat to the students daily. The menu in most of the schools included Sambhar and rice from Monday
to Friday and rice item like Chitrana, Pulao, Upma or Wheat daliya etc on a Saturdays. Sambhar would comprise of some seasonal vegetables every day.

In 40 schools in Raichur, around 150 children were interviewed to get their view regarding the MDM food. When asked about the variety of food served, $89 \%$ of them said there is a variety of food served to them. Some of them were unable to distinguish between the vegetables in Sambhar and they assumed it to be same every day. Similarly the SMC was also asked about the variety of food served to the students, their opinion was as follows:

|  | Similar <br> every day | Sometimes <br> variety is served | Everyday <br> new variety | NR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Opinion | $7.5 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| SMC Opinion | $0.00 \%$ | $36.36 \%$ | 15.155 | $48.48 \%$ |

A description of the same is given in Table below
MDM Menu

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | Daily | Twice / Thrice a Week | Weekly Once | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rice / Dal | 38 | - | - | 40 |
| Vegetables mixed with dal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tomato } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 25.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 5.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ 7.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 37.50 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Raddish $\%$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ 2.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ 7.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 12.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 22.50 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Pumpkin \% | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 15.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 12.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 5.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 32.50 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | Drumstick \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3 \\ 7.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 15.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 5.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11 \\ 27.50 \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Potato } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 5.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 20.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 27.50 \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heerekai } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7 \\ 17.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 5.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ 10.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 32.50 \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carrot } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ 10.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 10.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 7.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 27.50 \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Beans } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 52.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 12.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ 12.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31 \\ 77.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | Cucumber $\%$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 12.50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 5.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 15.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 32.50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Greens } \\ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 60.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 30.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \\ 5.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 95.00 \end{gathered}$ |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Brinjal } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 12.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ 2.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 5.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 20.00 \end{gathered}$ |

(The use of these vegetables by 40 schools where mid-day meal is prepared. Since there are many vegetables used on different days, the frequency indicates the trend in the use of vegetables rather than the pattern of usage by a particular school).
(ii) In all the schools rice is the common item served. It is the items prepared with dal and vegetables that take varied form. Generally, schools provide a distinct food item (rice bath or Godi Upma) on Saturdays. In few schools where strength of students is less, cooks sometimes make chapatti also.

## 8. Quality and Quantity of Meal

The responses from the students, head teachers and observation by MI team members have indicated details relating to the quality and quantity of food. As per the field investigators, around $84.62 \%$ of the schools served adequate quantity of the food, whereas around $15 \%$ of the schools had served more quantity to the students.

| Quantity of Food Served |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Block Name | Adequate | Ample |
| Deodurg | $85.71 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ |
| Lingasugur | $75.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| Manvi | $83.33 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ |
| Raichur | $87.50 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ |
| Sindhanur | $90.00 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ |
| Raichur | $\mathbf{8 4 . 6 2} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3 0} \%$ |

However, around two per cent of the students have stated that they are getting less quantity.

| Quantity of food served during MDM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raichur District | Adequate | Less |
| Student's perception | 96.75 | 1.30 |

In terms of quality of food served, $94.16 \%$ of the students said they were happy after consuming the meals in school and that they like eating in school. None of the student agreed
that they come to school because they are served MDM in school; they all said they come to school to study.

Nearly $71 \%$ of the schools visited were serving good quality food to the students during MDM.

| Quality of Food Served |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Block Name | Average | Good |
| Deodurg | $14.29 \%$ | $85.71 \%$ |
| Lingasugur | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ |
| Manvi | $33.33 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ |
| Raichur | $37.50 \%$ | $62.50 \%$ |
| Sindhanur | $10.00 \%$ | $90.00 \%$ |
| Raichur District | $\mathbf{2 8 . 2 1} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 2 9} \%$ |

Although students liked the food served in school, teachers find it derogatory to eat the MDM in school. In several schools the teachers have expressed surprise about the fact that MI wants to eat the MDM during the lunch hour. The quality of rice served to students in schools was not of the same quality served in Udupi, Mandya and Kodagu districts.

## 9. Supplementary (Health Check-up)

The data collected from schools has indicated that health check-up to children is conducted in all the 33 schools ( 82.5 per cent). All the schools have reported that they conducted health check-up camps once in an academic year (100 per cent). Of all schools visited, $92.5 \%$ maintained the Health Card record for every child in school.

The data collected has confirmed the supply of vitamin tablets, de-worming medicine and iron folic acid tablets in all the schools. The task of providing all this is handled by teachers, specially the class teachers. The vitamin tablets are supplied once in 15 days and deworming is given once in six month.

The health cared record also displayed the 'Height and Weight' data up to date in Health Card. The schools where health check was not done, in those schools the record of previous
year was observed. Not all the schools had Health cards with them, few of them were maintaining the child records in a register.

| Health check up been done in school since it reopened |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't Remember |
| Student Response | 14.94 | 64.94 | 20.13 |
| Micronutrients and De worming Medicines Provided |  |  |  |
| Student Response | 75.66 | 17.76 | 4.61 |

## 10. Cooks

The MDM guidelines specify the social composition of cooks and helpers. This has been done to avoid social discrimination and to help children to overcome prejudices and attitude of social distancing. All the schools make efforts to adhere to the norms. However, it has been observed that the social composition of the habitation/ village where school is located may not be able to get a person required to function as a cook or a helper.

In such situations, school will have limited options in adhering to the norms. It is due to this reason there may be certain amount of deviance in appointing cooks and helpers. However, most of the schools make choices on the basis of the availability of persons to perform the roles. The salary of cooks and helpers paid by all the schools is Rs. 1100 and Rs. 1000 respectively. The following table indicates the distribution and social composition of cooks and helpers in 40 schools:

## Social Composition of Cooks and Helpers

| District | SC | ST | OBC | Minority | General | No <br> response/DNA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head Cook | $35.00 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ | $17.50 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Social Composition of Helpers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC | ST | OBC | Minority | General | NA | No <br> response/DNA |
| $40.00 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ | $26.25 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | $22.50 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ |

Once the cook is appointed in the school, he/she is provided training and given a training manual to follow. The cook and helpers also need to undergo health check up at the time of joining. But in Raichur only $30 \%$ of the cook had training manual and had health check up done at the time of joining.
$60 \%$ of the schools received cook's salary on time. During the discussion with SMC about cook's salary, only $36 \%$ of them said the remuneration is received on time, $6.06 \%$ said it is not received regularly and gets delayed. In remaining schools the SMC was unaware about the salary of the cooks.

## 11. Infrastructure

The infrastructure needed to provide mid-day meal in the school includes facilities to cook food, availability of water, fuel and vessels. The data relating to these items indicates that most of the schools have separate kitchen or a separate space to cook. The details are given in table below.

Details about Kitchen

| Sl. No. | Particulars | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Separate kitchen | 89.74 |
| 2 | Unspecified place | 10.26 |

## 12. Safety and Hygiene:

All the schools have been making the best possible effort to ensure hygiene in the place where mid-day meal is prepared and served. There are a few cases where things could be improved for the better. In Raichur, in nearly $76 \%$ of the schools kitchen was maintained in hygienic condition. But ventilation was a major concern as around $61 \%$ of the kitchen were well ventilated and $89 \%$ of them were away from the classrooms

| Kitchen Well Maintenance \& in Hygienic Condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | NA |
| Raichur | 76.9 | 20.5 | 2.6 |
| Proper Ventilation in Kitchen |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | NA |
| Raichur | 61.54 | 33.33 | 2.56 |

Only 46 \% of the kitchen had storage bins to store food grains in the kitchen/store room.. As per SMC members the condition of kitchen in their schools is as follows:

| Condition of kitchen in school |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good Condition | Needs repair in rains | No response |
| 36.36 | 12.12 | 48.48 |

In all schools, children wash their hands and plates before eating the food. But the places where the children wash the plates become clogged with water and children need to maneuver to reach the water source. In most of the schools, teachers were observed monitoring the behavior of the students while eating and usage of water without wasting.
$85 \%$ schools had fire extinguisher in school. But most of the schools HM were not aware of how to use it in case of emergency.

## 13. Conservation of Water

The availability of water has been confirmed in all 40 schools ( 100 percent). The quality and quantity of water has been found to be good for purpose of cooking and drinking. However, only $56 \%$ schools had water availability in/near kitchen. In rest of the schools the water was brought from the water source in school/nearby areas.

| Means for the Conservation of Water in Kitchen |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Yes | No |
| Raichur | 56.41 | 43.59 |

## 14. Utensils for Cooking and Serving Food

The responses from the schools indicate that all schools preparing mid-day meal have utensils to cook food and small serving utensils as well.

## 15. Fuel Used

All the schools visited, did not use Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) as fuel for cooking. Out of 40 schools 27 schools had LPG connection. School sighted lack of gas availability as one of the reasons for the MDM being cooked on firewood.

| Firewood | 9 | $22.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPG Gas | 27 | $67.5 \%$ |
| Firewood \& LPG Gas | 4 | $10 \%$ |

In few schools pressure cook was also being used by cooks, in Manavi block there was a case of pressure cooker blasting during cooking time.

Also it takes a very long time to register the school for Akshara Dasoha as a school supplying the MDM in school. One school HM reported that for past six months the school has been prepairing food saperately for its students (which earlier used to get MDM for its students from the nearby school) and was not provided with Cooking Grant. Only reason being the name of school not being added in the digirtal list of 'schools providing MDM in school'.

## 16. Participation of SMC, parents, local body members in MDM:

(i) It was observed that the participation of SMC members to supervise mid-day meal varies from school to school. Focused Group discussion was held with the SMC members of all the schools visited. Understanding of SMC with regard to their roles and responsibilities towards MDM and the action taken by them to manage MDM activity in school were discussed during the FGD.

| Sl. No | Monitoring Activity To be <br> done by SMC | \% of <br> respondents | Action Taken By SMC <br> Members | \% of <br> respondents |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Monitoring of Stocks and <br> Quality and Quantity of food <br> served | 30.30 | Just Observe | 12.12 |
| 2 | MDM Attendance | 0.00 | Ensure discipline in serving <br> and cooking MDM | 15.15 |
| 3 | Kitchen Maintenance | 3.03 | Help in cooking/serving when <br> needed | 6.06 |
| 4 | Supervise MDM Functioning | 0.00 | Arrange for gas and water <br> facility | 0.00 |
| 5 | Everything is good no need to <br> monitor anything | 0.00 | Don't do anything | 12.12 |
| 6 | No Response | 23.08 |  |  |

The community tries to participate in the school's MDM activity but they have their own constraints. $12.12 \%$ of the SMC members said they just observe while MDM or other school activities take place. SMC in $15.15 \%$ of the schools ensured discipline during the MDM timings. But none of them admitted to be exercising this right. SMC is considered a political position in Raichur district. SMC members are generally active in participating in everyday activities of the school. But their contribution for the uplift of the school or betterment of the kitchen and other things in school is negligible.
(ii) As per the norm, the MDM is being monitored and supervised by the parents on some of the days. The data collected from sample schools indicate that there is no roster of parents formally prepared with specified days. However, there is informal arrangement with parents to oversee MDM supply in few of the schools.
(iii) A detailed analysis of the extent of awareness and participation in MDM programme by the parents, SMC members and Panchayat/Local Body representatives has been made by examining the responses from the respective groups:

- Parents: In Raichur district 160 parents were interviewed. The data collected from parents confirmed that most of the parents (interviewed by the MI team members) have an average understanding of the implementation of MDM programme (18.63 \%). Largely parents trusted the school and said whatever the school is providing has
to be good for their children. They were satisfied with the quantity of food given to the students and none of them said they ever faced any issue of discrimination in the school during MDM. More than $99 \%$ of parents were happy with MDM being provided in school.

Regarding the quality of food served, 75 \% of the parents believed that the MDM takes care of nutritional and calorific requirements of the child.

Nutritional and Calorific Requirements of the Child Taken Care by MDM

| Yes | No | Can't say | NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75.49 | 2.94 | 21.57 | 0.00 |

- Overall awareness and participation of the parents in implementation of MDM is fairly low in Raichur. The table below highlights the awareness status of parents on various parameters of functioning of MDM.

Community Participation in MDM (Response from Parents)

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Poor | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Excellent |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Overall Awareness <br> Regarding MDM | $28.43 \%$ | $18.63 \%$ | $12.75 \%$ | $40.20 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2 | Awareness Regarding <br> Supervision of MDM | $17.95 \%$ | 71.795 | $10.26 \%$ | 0.005 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 3 | Awareness Regarding <br> Quantity Prescribed <br> for students | $32.35 \%$ | $43.14 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ | $6.86 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ |
| 4 | Awareness Regarding <br> Nutrition level of mid- <br> day meal | $39.22 \%$ | $27.45 \%$ | $25.49 \%$ | $6.86 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ |

Only $9.80 \%$ of the parents were aware of the grievance redressal mechanism in case of emergency in school. Of these none of the parents knew of the toll free number to dial in adverse situation
b) SMC Members: The level of awareness among SMC members has been poor among majority ( 48.48 per cent) and is satisfactory for $24 \%$ of SMC members. Only 12.12 $\%$ of awareness of SMC been well

Community Participation in MDM (Response from SMC Members)

| Sl. <br> No. |  | Poor | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Awareness regarding <br> MDM implementation | $48.48 \%$ | $24.24 \%$ | $12.12 \%$ | $12.12 \%$ | $3.03 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 2 | Awareness regarding <br> MDM supervision | Mostly the SMC President visits frequently and is keenly involved in <br> supervision of MDM related activities. |  |  |  |  |  |

## 18. Source of Awareness

An attempt has also been made to identify the sources of awareness. From most of the sources identified, parent's awareness about MDM is gathered more or less from school or the students. Largely, teachers and students are the main source, though they are not significantly high. The details about other sources are indicated in Table below.

## Source of Awareness of Parents about the MDM Scheme/ School Activities

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Percentage of Respondents <br> PARENTS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Newspaper/ Magazine | $9.80 \%$ |
| 2 | Radio | $7 \%$ |
| 3 | Television | $6.87 \%$ |
| 4 | Teacher | $17 \%$ |
| 5 | Students/ School | $15.69 \%$ |
| 6 | Mothers/Community/PTA members | $9 \%$ |
| 7 | Website | $1.96 \%$ |
| 8 | Other Sources | No other source |

*Each respondent is entitled to indicate more than one source.

For SMC also the main source of awareness regarding MDM functioning is through teachers and students (more than 55\%). Awareness source for the SMC regarding MDM and other school activities is shown in the below table:

| Source of Awareness for SMC regarding MDM | Percentage of Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Newspaper | 18.18 |
| Radio/TV | 18.18 |
| Teachers | 30.30 |
| Students | 24.24 |
| Panchayat Members / Mothers / community <br> members / PTA members | 12.12 |
| Others | 3.03 |

## 19. Inspection and Supervision

The MDM scheme has been supervised at the State, District, Block, Cluster and School level. There are many officials involved and assigned with this responsibility. There are both periodical and surprise inspections from most these officials. On a daily basis, it is the SMC members or parents who supervise and inspect at the school level. The CRP, Assistant Director of Taluk Panchayat (Akshara Dasoha) and CRC, BEO/BRC supervise most of the schools at least once in a month. The district officials like the Deputy Project Coordinator (SSA), Education Officer (Zilla Panchayat) and other officials supervise and inspect as and when they visit schools. The officials at the State level also have their planned programme of supervision and inspection. The data collected from the sampled schools indicates that it is the Block Level and Cluster Level officials making more frequent visits of supervision and monitoring than the District Level and State Level Officers. The details of visit by different officials are given in Table below.

Inspection and Supervision by MDM Officials

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Visit by Officials | Comment made by them |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | State Level MDM Officers (DDPI) | Visit in $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter: (June - Sept) $=$ $7.0 \%$ of schools <br> Visit in $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter (Oct-Jan) $=0 \%$ of schools <br> Visit in $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter (Feb-May) $=2.5 \%$ of schools | Only in one school DDPI had commented on hot MDM being served. |
|  | State Level MDM Officers (DyPC) | Visit in $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter: (June - Sept) $=$ $7.0 \%$ of schools <br> Visit in $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter (Oct-Jan) $=2.5 \%$ of schools <br> Visit in $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter (Feb-May) $=0 \%$ of schools | Only 4 out of 40 schools were visited by the DyPC. $90 \%$ of schools were not visited by state level MDM officers. In only one school (2.5\%) comment was made on cooking MDM in hygienic conditions. In all schools visited DyPC had shown concern about OoSc and discipline in school. |

\(\left.$$
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All officials from state level to CRC level visit the schools frequently for inspection and supervision of MDM. Despite this supervision and inspection; there are instances of delay in supply of food grains as well as allotment of funds in the district which is a cause of concern.

## 20. Impact

The mid-day meal scheme has been found to have made impact in many ways as reported by different stakeholders (Table 10). Teachers, SMC members, Parents \& GP members have
uniformly expressed the view that MDM has improved attendance, enrolment and nutritional status of children in schools.

| Impact of MDM on Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes now <br> he/she is <br> more healthy | Child has <br> become more <br> regular | Child is <br> concentrating more <br> on studies in school | Don't <br> know | We don't have time <br> to cook, so good it is <br> provided in school |  |
| Parent's <br> Opinion | $52.94 \%$ | $3.92 \%$ | $3.92 \%$ | $20.59 \%$ | $3.92 \%$ |  |

Around $66 \%$ parents said the MDM scheme has benefitted the children in a positive way. $4 \%$ parents said they leave for work very early in the morning and their children go to school on their own. Under such circumstances it is a big relief that our children are fed in school.

The school Headmasters also said MDM has helped in many ways. The graph below highlights few parameters on which HM fell MDM is contributing in a big way.


Around $72 \%$ of the Head teachers in the schools visited, said that MDM had improved enrollment in school, and $76 \%$ of them said retention of the students in school has improved due to MDM. Around $76.9 \%$ of them stated that it had improved the nutritional status of the students.
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## Udupi District

Udupi District had a sample of 39 schools with 2 Lower Primary Schools and 37 Upper Primary Schools. The selection of sample schools has been done in consultation with the District SSA office and as per the criteria outlined by the MHRD/GoI. The present report refers to half-yearly monitoring for the period September 2013-May 2014.

## A. At the School Level

## 1. Regularity in Serving Meal

All 39 schools of the sample serve hot cooked meal daily. 37 schools serve mid-day meal cooked in their premises by appointed cooks and 2 schools get the food supplied by the NGO.

## 2. Trends

Most of the students enrolled in a given school are eligible to avail mid-day meal facility. However, an individual child is entitled to make an option to avail or not the facility. It is in this context, data has been collected about the number of students availing mid-day meal and the actual number of students taking meal during the days of visit.

| a) | Number of children enrolled in schools | - | 5418 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b) | Number of children opted for MDM | - | $5318(99.10 \%)$ |
| c) | Number of children attending the school on the day of visit | - | $4851(89.56 \%)$ |
| d) | Number of children actually availing MDM on the day of visit | - | $4562(84.20 \%)$ |
| e) | Number of children availed MDM on the previous day of visit | - | $4796(88.56 \%)$ |

Considering the attendance, it may be noticed that about $11 \%$ of children were absent in schools on the day of visit. This Further considering the percentage of children actually consuming MDM, it is seen that about $84 \%$ of the students eat in the schools while close to $16 \%$ do not eat either because they are absent on the day or have decided to opt out of the
scheme. Even on the previous day of the school visit by MI, about $12 \%$ of children ate MDM suggesting that $22 \%$ remained out of the scheme coverage. There is a large gap between those who opted for the food and those who actually utilize the benefit.

The school HM/Teachers needs to be trained to maintain record of the MDM, in Udupi around 7.89 \% of the school HM and teachers have not been trained.

| Training of Teachers/HM to Maintain MDM Records |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block Name | Yes | No | No Response |
| Brahmavar | $14.29 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ |
| Byndoor | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Karakal | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Kundapur | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Udupi | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Udupi | $\mathbf{2 . 6 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 4 7 \%}$ |

## 3. Regularity in Delivering Food Grains to School

Food grains are supplied to school through the outlets of Food Corporation of India (FCI). There are guidelines to the FCI with regard to supplying food grains to schools. The data collected from schools has indicated that $50 \%$ of the schools have been getting the supply of food grains on time. The schools where supply is not reaching on time, the delay ranges from one month to six months. In these schools, HM arrange for food grains from neighboring schools or ration shop in the nearby locality. Once the FCI provides them with food grains they return the borrowed material.

| Food Grains Received from FCI on Time |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | No Response |
| Brahmavar | $71.43 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ |
| Byndoor | $37.50 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ |
| Karkala | $66.67 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Kundapura | $28.57 \%$ | $28.57 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ |
| Udupi | $42.86 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ |
| Udupi District | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 9 \%}$ |

Around $78 \%$ school's HM said and the MDM register also reflected that the food grains are released after adjusting the unspent balance of the previous month.

| Food Grains Released After Adjusting Unspent Balance <br> of Previous Month |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | NR |
| Brahmavar | $85.71 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ |
| Byndoor | $87.50 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ |
| Karkala | $62.50 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| Kundapura | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Udupi | $57.14 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ |
| Udupi District | $\mathbf{7 8 . 3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 2 \%}$ |

FCI delivers the food grains through lifting agency to the school. Only $79 \%$ of the school's HM knew about the payment made to the FCI in the previous month and even lesser i.e. $25 \%$ of them knew of the payment to FCI for the current month.

Schools generally get one month buffer stock of food grains. It was found that $92.5 \%$ of the schools have one month buffer stock, whereas only one school indicated the absence of one month buffer stock.

All schools are entitled for Fair Average Quality (FAQ) of food grains from FCI. But only $72.5 \%$ schools confirmed having FAQ quality food grains. Nearly $22.5 \%$ schools did not get FAQ grains. If there is any lapse, the head teacher or the school is entitled to return the food grains for `poor quality’. HM in one of the schools said they return the Grains if they are not satisfied with the quality.

## 4. Regularity in Delivering Cooking Cost to School Level

The mid-day meal grant is released either through a cheque or e-transfer. This has been confirmed by all the sampled schools. All schools cooking MDM in school get the grant/funds released on time. Although the MDM funds get transferred on time, still cooking cost gets delayed in reaching to schools. $84 \%$ of schools ( 32 schools) which are preparing mid-day meal in the school confirmed that they get funds regularly without any delay. Six schools get funds with a delay of one or two weeks. Reasons are not known.

95\% schools get the funds through e-transfer whereas remaining 2 schools get through cheque brought by CRP. One school gets payment in cash.

## 5. Social Equity

There is no visible social discrimination in serving mid-day meal. Some of the possible factors of discrimination like caste, gender or community have not influenced MDM at any stage in the process of its implementation. It is observed that in all schools children are served mid-day meal in a systematic manner by forming a line.

| Seating Arrangement of Students During MDM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In a big hall or their <br> respective classrooms <br> to eat | As per their <br> own wish | Girls and boys <br> separately | In long rows <br>  <br> Girls mixed) | In small <br> circles |
| $2.63 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ | $73.68 \%$ | $7.89 \%$ |

## 6. Menu

The guidelines issued by the MDM scheme have specifications relating to menu. Every school is expected to evolve and maintain menu details on a weekly basis. It is also expected to incorporate variety in the menu.
(i) Only in $29 \%$ schools, weekly menu was displayed in the school. The responses from the head teachers confirm that schools should have a pre-planned menu schedule for all the days of the week. On the contrary, $2.5 \%$ schools have not displayed the menu on the notice board.

| Weekly menu displayed in school |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Is there in school but not <br> displayed |
| MI Observation | $28.95 \%$ | $68.42 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ |
| Student's Response | $25.2 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $2 \%$ No response |

When students were asked about their awareness regarding the Menu of food, only $25 \%$ students said they have seen the menu.
(ii) Generally, the Cook and the Head teachers formulate the menu in schools.

| Cook Decide the <br> Menu | HM Decides <br> the Menu | Cook \& HM Together <br> Decide the Menu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38.46 | 43.59 | 2.56 |

In spite of absence of the menu, students were aware of the food which they would get all through the day. Most of them said they like the food menu and what is cooked in the school.

## 7. Variety of Menu

The school is instructed to provide healthy locally grown vegetables, dal and rice/wheat to the students daily. The menu in most of the schools included Sambhar and rice from Monday to Friday and rice item like Chitrana, Pulao, Upma or Wheat daliya etc on a Saturdays. Sambhar would comprise of some seasonal vegetables every day.

In 39 schools in Udupi, around 150 children were interviewed to get their view regarding the MDM food. When asked about the variety of food served, $26 \%$ of them said there is a variety of food served to them. Some of them were unable to distinguish between the vegetables in sambhar and they assumed it to be same every day. Similarly the SMC was also asked about the variety of food served to the students; around $3 \%$ said there is no variety in food served.

|  | Similar <br> every day | Sometimes <br> variety is served | Everyday <br> new variety | NR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Opinion | $25.8 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| SMC Opinion | $5.13 \%$ | $58.97 \%$ | $25.64 \%$ | 10.01 |

A description of the same is given in Table below:

## MDM Menu

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | Daily | Twice / <br> Thrice a Week | Weekly Once | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rice / Dal | 38 | - | - | 38 |
| Vegetables mixed with dal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Tomato | 15 | 4 | 4 | 23 |
|  | \% | 39.47 | 10.53 | 10.53 | 60.53 |
| 3 | Raddish | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
|  | \% | 2.63 | 7.89 | 13.16 | 23.68 |
| 4 | Pumpkin | 13 | 5 | 6 | 24 |
|  | \% | 34.21 | 13.16 | 15.79 | 63.16 |
| 5 | Drumstick | 3 | 5 | 10 | 18 |
|  | \% | 7.89 | 13.16 | 26.32 | 47.37 |
| 6 | Potato | 2 | 2 | 8 | 12 |
|  | \% | 5.26 | 5.26 | 21.05 | 31.58 |
| 7 | Heerekai | 7 | 2 | 14 | 23 |
|  | \% | 18.42 | 5.26 | 36.84 | 60.53 |
| 8 | Carrot | 14 | 4 | 1 | 19 |
|  | \% | 36.84 | 10.53 | 2.63 | 50.00 |
| 9 | Beans | 25 | 1 | 5 | 31 |
|  | \% | 65.8 | 2.6 | 13.2 | 81.6 |
| 10 | Cucumber | 9 | 2 | 6 | 17 |
|  | \% | 23.68 | 5.26 | 15.79 | 44.74 |
| 11 | Greens | 15 | 19 | 2 | 36 |
|  | \% | 39.47 | 50.00 | 5.26 | 94.74 |
| 12 | Brinjal | 6 | 6 | 1 | 13 |
|  | \% | 15.79 | 15.79 | 2.63 | 34.21 |
| 13 | Other items only on Saturday |  |  | 40 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  | [100.0] | [100.0\%] |

(The use of these vegetables by 34 schools where mid-day meal is prepared. Since there are many vegetables used on different days, the frequency indicates the trend in the use of vegetables rather than the pattern of usage by a particular school).
(ii) In all the schools rice is the common item served. It is the items prepared with dal and vegetables that take varied form. Generally, schools provide a distinct food item (rice bath) on Saturdays.

## 8. Quality and Quantity of Meal

The responses from the students, head teachers and observation by MI team members have indicated details relating to the quality and quantity of food. As per the field investigators, around $92 \%$ of the schools served adequate quantity of the food, whereas around $5.26 \%$ of the schools had served less quantity to the students.

| Quantity of Food Served |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block Name | Adequate | Ample | Less |
| Brahmavar | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Byndoor | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Karakal | $88.89 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $11.11 \%$ |
| Kundapur | $71.43 \%$ | $28.57 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Udupi | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Udupi District | $\mathbf{9 2 . 1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 3 \%}$ |

In terms of quality of food served, $90.73 \%$ of the students said they were happy after consuming the meals in school and that they like eating in school. None of the student agreed that they come to school because they are served MDM in school; they all said they come to school to study.

| Quantity of food served during MDM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Udupi District | Adequate | Less |
| Student's perception | $92.05 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

Nearly $92 \%$ of the schools visited were serving good quality food to the students during MDM.

| Quality of Food Served |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block Name | Average | Good | Poor |
| Brahmavar | $0.00 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Byndoor | $0.00 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Karakal | $22.22 \%$ | $77.78 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Kundapur | $0.00 \%$ | $85.71 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ |
| Udupi | $0.00 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Udupi District | $\mathbf{5 . 2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 3 \%}$ |

## 9. Supplementary (Health Check-up)

The data collected from schools has indicated that health check-up to children is conducted in all the 39 schools ( 100 per cent). All the schools have reported that they have conducted health check-up camps once in an academic year ( 100 per cent). All schools visited, maintained the Health Card record for every child in school.

The data collected has confirmed the supply of vitamin tablets, de-worming medicine and iron folic acid tablets in all the schools. The task of providing all this is handled by teachers, specially the class teachers. The vitamin tablets are supplied once in 15 days and deworming is given once in six month.

| Health check up been done in school since it reopened |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't <br> Remember |
| Student Response | 86.75 | 6.62 | 5.30 |
| Micronutrients and De worming Medicines Provided |  |  |  |
| Student Response | 92.05 | 2.65 | 1.99 |

The health cared record also displayed the 'Height and Weight' data up to date in Health Card. The measurement of height and weight is also done by the school teachers.

## 10. Cooks

The MDM guidelines specify the social composition of cooks and helpers. This has been done to avoid social discrimination and to help children to overcome prejudices and attitude
of social distancing. All the schools make efforts to adhere to the norms. However, it has been observed that the social composition of the habitation/ village where school is located may not be able to get a person required to function as a cook or a helper.

In such situations, school will have limited options in adhering to the norms. It is due to this reason there may be certain amount of deviance in appointing cooks and helpers. However, most of the schools make choices on the basis of the availability of persons to perform the roles. The salary of cooks and helpers paid by all the schools is Rs. 1100 and Rs. 1000 respectively. The following table indicates the distribution and social composition of cooks and helpers in schools:

## Social Composition of Cooks and Helpers

| District | SC | ST | OBC | Minority | General | No <br> response/DNA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head Cook | $0.00 \%$ | $21.05 \%$ | $65.73 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Social Composition of Helpers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC | ST | OBC | Minority | General | NA |  |
| $38.16 \%$ | $19.74 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $43.42 \%$ |  |

Once the cook is appointed in the school, he/she is provided training and given a training manual to follow. The cook and helpers also need to undergo health check up at the time of joining. But in Udupi only $60 \%$ of the cook had training manual and had health check up done at the time of joining.
$92 \%$ of the schools received cook's salary on time. During the discussion with SMC about cook's salary, only $85 \%$ of them said the remuneration is received on time, $5.13 \%$ said it is not received regularly and gets delayed. In remaining schools the SMC was unaware about the salary of the cooks.

## 11. Infrastructure

The infrastructure needed to provide mid-day meal in the school includes facilities to cook food, availability of water, fuel and vessels. The data relating to these items indicates that most of the schools have separate kitchen or a separate space to cook. The details are given in table below.

Details about Kitchen

| Sl. No. | Particulars | Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Separate kitchen | 84.21 |
| 3 | Class room | 5.16 |
| 4 | Unspecified place | 10.53 |
| 5 | Supplied by other schools/ agencies | 0.00 |
|  | Total | 100.00 |

## 12. Safety and Hygiene:

All the schools have been making the best possible effort to ensure hygiene in the place where mid-day meal is prepared and served. There are a few cases where things could be improved for the better. In Udupi, in nearly $89 \%$ of the schools kitchen was maintained in hygienic condition.

| Kitchen Well Maintenance \& in Hygienic Condition |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |
| Udupi | 89.47 | 10.53 |
| Proper Ventilation in Kitchen |  |  |
| Udupi | 97.37 | 2.63 |

Only $89 \%$ of the kitchen had storage bins to store food grains in the kitchen/store room. Around $97 \%$ of the kitchen was well ventilated and $84 \%$ of them were away from the classrooms.

As per SMC members the condition of kitchen in their schools is as follows:

| Condition of kitchen in school |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good <br> Condition | Needs repair <br> in rains | No storage room but <br> kitchen is good | Kitchen is good but <br> water facility needed | No response |
| 48.72 | 7.69 | 12.82 | 12.82 | 2.56 |

In all schools, children wash their hands and plates before eating the food. But the places where the children wash the plates become clogged with water and children need to maneuver to reach the water source. In most of the schools, teachers monitored the behavior of the students while eating and usage of water without wasting.
$89 \%$ schools had fire extinguisher in school. But most of the schools HM were not aware of how to use it in case of emergency.

## 13. Conservation of Water

The availability of water has been confirmed in all schools (100 percent). The quality and quantity of water has been found to be good for purpose of cooking and drinking. However, around $81 \%$ schools had water availability in/near kitchen. In rest of the schools the water was brought from the water source in school/nearby areas.

| Means for the Conservation of Water in Kitchen |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Yes | No | NA |
| Udupi | 81.58 | 15.79 | 2.63 |

## 14. Utensils for Cooking and Serving Food

The responses from the schools indicate that all schools preparing mid-day meal have utensils to cook food and small serving utensils as well.
15. All the schools visited, had Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) as fuel for cooking.

## 16. Participation of SMC, parents, local body members in MDM:

(i) It was observed that the participation of SMC members to supervise mid-day meal varies from school to school. Focused Group discussion was held with the SMC members of all the schools visited. Understanding of SMC with regard to their roles and responsibilities towards MDM and the action taken by them to manage MDM activity in school were discussed during the FGD.

| Sl. No | Monitoring Activity To be <br> done by SMC | \% of <br> respondents | Action Taken By SMC <br> Members | \% of <br> respondents |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Monitoring of Stocks and <br> Quality and Quantity of food <br> served | 41.03 | Just Observe | 10.26 |
| 2 | MDM Attendance | 0.00 | Ensure discipline in serving <br> and cooking MDM | 25.64 |
| 3 | Kitchen Maintenance | 7.69 | Help in cooking/serving when <br> needed | 7.69 |
| 4 | Supervise MDM Functioning | 10.26 | Arrange for gas and water <br> facility | 5.13 |
| 5 | Everything is good no need to <br> monitor anything | 12.82 | Don't do anything | 7.69 |
| 6 | No Response | 10.25 | NR | 23.08 |

The community tries to participate in the school's MDM activity but they have their own constraints. 10.26 \% of the SMC members said they just observe while MDM or other school activities take place. SMC in $25.64 \%$ of the schools ensured discipline during the MDM timings. But none of them admitted to be exercising this right.
(ii) As per the norm, the MDM is being monitored and supervised by the parents on some of the days. The data collected from sample schools indicate that there is no roster of
parents formally prepared with specified days. However, there is informal arrangement with parents to oversee MDM supply in few of the schools.
(iii) A detailed analysis of the extent of awareness and participation in MDM programme by the parents, SMC members and Panchayat/Local Body representatives has been made by examining the responses from the respective groups:

- Parents: In Udupi district in each school four parents were interviewed. The data collected from parents confirmed that most of the parents (interviewed by the MI team members) have an average understanding of the implementation of MDM programme ( $37.06 \%$ ). Largely parents trusted the school and said whatever the school is providing has to be good for their children. They were satisfied with the quantity of food given to the students and none of them said they ever faced any issue of discrimination in the school during MDM.

More than $94 \%$ of parents were happy with MDM being provided in school. Only 4.90 \% parents said they were unhappy with the MDM service as they felt it affected school functioning.

Regarding the quality of food served, $85 \%$ of the parents believed that the MDM takes care of nutritional and calorific requirements of the child.

| Nutritional and Calorific Requirements of the Child Taken Care <br> by MDM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Can't say | NA |
| $85.31 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ | $11.89 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

- Overall awareness and participation of the parents in implementation of MDM is fairly low in Udupi. The table below highlights the awareness status of parents on various parameters of functioning of MDM.

Community Participation in MDM (Response from Parents)

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Poor | Satisfactory | Good | Very <br> Good | No <br> Response |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Overall Awareness <br> Regarding MDM | 9.095 | $37.06 \%$ | $4.20 \%$ | $46.85 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ |
| 2 | Awareness Regarding <br> Supervision of MDM | $26.32 \%$ | $18.42 \%$ | $47.37 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| 3 | Awareness Regarding <br> Quantity Prescribed <br> for students | $34.97 \%$ | $26.57 \%$ | $26.57 \%$ | $11.89 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| 4 | Awareness Regarding <br> Nutrition level of <br> mid-day meal | $35.66 \%$ | $26.57 \%$ | $25.17 \%$ | $12.59 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

Only 14.69 \% of the parents were aware of the grievance redressal mechanism in case of emergency in school. Of these none of the parents knew of the toll free number to dial in adverse situation
b) SMC Members: The level of awareness among SMC members regarding awareness of MDM is has been "very good" among majority ( 46.85 per cent). It is also satisfactory for $37.06 \%$ of SMC members.

The awareness regarding supervision level has been "Good" among $34 \%$ per cent and "poor" amongst $26.32 \%$ of the SMC members. With regard to quantity of food prescribed for students, awareness level of majority of them was "Poor" ( 35 per cent) and "very good" ( $11 \%$ ) and satisfactory by $26.5 \%$ of people. An equally encouraging response comes from SMC members with regard to the nutritional level of the mid-day meal.

Community Participation in MDM (Response from SMC Members)

| Sl. <br> No. |  | Poor | Satisfactory | Good | Very <br> Good | Excellent | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Awareness regarding <br> MDM implementation | $30.77 \%$ | $12.82 \%$ | $38.46 \%$ | $15.38 \%$ | $2.56 \%$ | 100 <br> $\%$ |
| 2 | Awareness regarding <br> MDM supervision | Mostly the SMC President visits frequently and is keenly involved <br> in supervision of MDM related activities. |  |  |  |  |  |

## 18. Source of Awareness

An attempt has also been made to identify the sources of awareness. From most of the sources identified, parent's awareness about MDM is gathered more or less from school or the students. Largely, teachers and students are the main source, though they are not significantly high. The details about other sources are indicated in Table below.

Source of Awareness of Parents about the MDM Scheme/ School Activities

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Percentage of Respondents PARENTS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Newspaper/ Magazine | $33 \%$ |
| 2 | Radio | $15 \%$ |
| 3 | Television | $39.86 \%$ |
| 4 | Teacher | $59 \%$ |
| 5 | Students/ School | $72.72 \%$ |
| 6 | Mothers/Community/PTA members | $36 \%$ |
| 7 | Website | $12.90 \%$ |
| 8 | Other Sources | No other source |

*Each respondent is entitled to indicate more than one source.

For SMC also the main source of awareness regarding MDM functioning is through teachers and students (more than $80 \%$ ). Awareness source for the SMC regarding MDM and other school activities is shown in the below table:

| Source of Awareness for SMC regarding MDM | Percentage of Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Newspaper | 46.15 |
| Radio/TV | 56.41 |
| Teachers | 76.92 |
| Students | 76.92 |
| Panchayat Members / Mothers / community <br> members / PTA members | 41.03 |
| Others | 12.82 |

## 19. Inspection and Supervision

The MDM scheme has been supervised at the State, District, Block, Cluster and School level. There are many officials involved and assigned with this responsibility. There are both
periodical and surprise inspections from most these officials. On a daily basis, it is the SMC members or parents who supervise and inspect at the school level. The CRP, Assistant Director of Taluk Panchayat (Akshara Dasoha) and CRC, BEO/BRC supervise most of the schools at least once in a month. The district officials like the Deputy Project Coordinator (SSA), Education Officer (Zilla Panchayat) and other officials supervise and inspect as and when they visit schools. The officials at the State level also have their planned programme of supervision and inspection. The data collected from the sampled schools indicates that it is the Block Level and Cluster Level officials making more frequent visits of supervision and monitoring than the District Level and State Level Officers. The details of visit by different officials are given in Table below.

Inspection and Supervision by MDM Officials

| Sl. <br> No. | Particulars | Visit by Officials | Comment made by them |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Other <br> Educational <br> Officers (ECO) |  | In 2.5\% of schools visited by ECO. <br> In 10.5\% of schools not visited by <br> other educational officers. |
|  |  | Visit in 1 $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter: (June - Sept) <br> $=7.8 \%$ of schools | In 42.1 \% of school not visited by <br> Akshara Dasoha. In $15.0 \%$ of school <br> comments were on cleanliness <br> maintained, student and food served, <br> kitchen kept clean and vegetable <br> grown and over all good. |
| 2 | Akshara Dasoha <br> Officials | Visit in 2 2d Quarter (Oct-Jan) <br> $13.0 \%$ of schools <br> Visit in 3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter (Feb-May) <br> $5.2 \%$ schools |  |

All officials from state level to CRC level visit the schools frequently for inspection and supervision of MDM. Despite this supervision and inspection; there are instances of delay in supply of food grains as well as allotment of funds in the district which is a cause of concern.

## 20. Impact

The mid-day meal scheme has been found to have made impact in many ways as reported by different stakeholders (Table 10). Teachers, SMC members, Parents \& GP members have uniformly expressed the view that MDM has improved attendance, enrolment and nutritional status of children in schools.

| Impact of MDM on Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes now <br> he/she is <br> more healthy | Child has <br> become more <br> regular | Child is <br> concentrating more <br> on studies in school | Don't <br> know | We don't have <br> time to cook, so <br> good it is provided <br> in school |  |
| Parent's <br> Opinion | 71.33 | 4.90 | 8.39 | 12.59 | 2.80 |  |

*a parent can have more than one comment

Around $84 \%$ parents said the MDM scheme has benefitted the children in a positive way. $2.8 \%$ parents said they leave for work very early in the morning and their children go to school on their own. Under such circumstances it is a big relief that our children are fed in school.

The school Headmasters also said MDM has helped in many ways. The graph below highlights few parameters on which HM fell MDM is contributing in a big way.


Around $71 \%$ of the Head teachers in the schools visited, claimed that MDM had improved enrollment in school, and $50 \%$ of them said retention of the students in school has improved due to MDM. Around $76 \%$ of them claimed that it had improved the nutritional status of the students.

